

## NOVEMBER MEETING, 2005

*The University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor  
November 17, 2005*

The Regents convened at 2:40 p.m. in the Regents' Room. Present were President Coleman and Regents Brandon, Maynard, McGowan, Newman, Richner, Taylor, and White. Also present were Vice President Churchill, Interim Provost Gramlich, Vice President Harper, Executive Vice President Kelch, Vice President Krislov, Chancellor Little, Vice President May, Chancellor Mestas, Vice President Rudgers, Executive Vice President Slottow, Vice President Ulaby, and Vice President Wilbanks. Regent Deitch was absent.

### **Call to Order**

President Coleman called the meeting to order and welcomed the members of "Team Momentum," the University's solar car team, to be recognized for winning the 2005 North American Solar Car Challenge. She noted that Interim Dean Ronald Gibala was present, along with two faculty advisors, and called on Regent White to present the resolution.

### **Resolution in Honor of Solar Car Team**

Regent White called team members to the podium and read the following resolution:

#### ***Regents' Resolution***

*The Regents of the University of Michigan congratulate the University of Michigan Solar Car Team for the first-place victory of its car, "Momentum," in the 2,500-mile North American Solar Challenge race which began in Austin, Texas, on July 17 and concluded in Calgary, Alberta, on July 27, 2005. The victory marks the University's fourth solar car national championship, the most of any university since competition began in 1990.*

*The Regents also proudly salute Momentum's impressive third-place finish among 22 entries in the 2005 World Solar Challenge. This week-long, 3,000-kilometer race, which traverses the Australian continent from Darwin to*

*Adelaide, concluded on October 2, and included teams from both the academic and corporate sectors.*

*Each generation of solar car is the product of two years of non-stop endeavor by an enterprising, interdisciplinary, student-run team of more than 150 members. The creativity, dedication and sophistication with which the Solar Car Team manages all aspects of this project—from fundraising, to engineering, to marketing, to logistics—prove that “The Michigan Difference” makes all the difference when our students compete with the best and brightest from around the world.*

*The Regents take great pride in Team Momentum’s accomplishments in the 2005 North American and World Solar Challenges and look forward to further triumphs in the years to come.*

Following the resolution, there was a round of applause, and the student team members introduced themselves. Brian Gilcrest, one of the faculty advisors, thanked the Regents and administration for creating the environment that allows these kinds of opportunities to happen, noting that it is very much appreciated.

### **President’s Opening Remarks**

President Coleman commented on recent national accolades given to University faculty and students. She noted that William “Buzz” Alexander, professor of English Language and Literature, had been named one of four “U.S. Professors of the Year.” She also announced that the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers had awarded the 2006 Edison Medal, considered the Nobel Prize of electronics, to Vice President Fawwaz Ulaby. President Coleman reported that 29 University of Michigan students had been awarded Fulbright Fellowships for 2005-06, the most of any other U.S. University. She noted that Regent White is a former Fulbright scholar who currently serves on the board of directors of the Fulbright Association and is its incoming vice president.

President Coleman concluded by announcing the establishment of a new initiative focusing on environmental sustainability, made possible by a \$5.25 million gift from the Graham Foundation, which was founded by alumni Donald and Ingrid Graham.

### **Annual Report of the Senate Advisory Committee on University Affairs (SACUA)**

President Coleman called on Professor Bruno Giordani, chair of SACUA, to present the committee's annual report. In the report, Professor Giordani gave examples of recently addressed issues that illustrate how the model of shared governance works at the University of Michigan. He reviewed the work of the various Senate Assembly advisory committees and discussed the work of the newly established position of faculty ombuds. He concluded by stressing the importance of effective communication in governance on the part of both faculty and administrators.

### **Annual Operating Request to the State for the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor Campus for FY 2007**

Interim Provost Gramlich reviewed the highlights of the Ann Arbor Campus's operating request to the state for FY 2007, noting that it points out steps that have been taken to contain costs and reduce expenditures but that certain factors outside of the University's control will lead to increased costs. The formal request to the state requests restoration of as much as possible of the \$47.2 million reduction that the University has experienced since FY 2002.

### **Annual Operating Request to the State for the University of Michigan-Dearborn Campus for FY 2007**

Chancellor Little reported that the request for the Dearborn campus is framed around its strategic vision. Although the University of fully mindful of the state's fiscal situation, it has also been impacted by several years of successive reductions in state support, totaling \$3.5 million. The request for the Dearborn campus calls for an increase in state support of about 4%,

or \$1.0 million dollars, but at the same time recognizes the need for the state to bring the level of funding for all higher education institutions back to the levels from 2002.

### **Annual Operating Request to the State for the University of Michigan-Flint Campus for FY 2007**

Chancellor Mestas noted that the Flint request focuses on the need to add faculty positions in the areas of strategic growth, continue to improve and expand campus technology, and to enhance community partnership efforts. The Flint campus's requested increase is about about \$3.5 million.

President Coleman asked Executive Vice President Slottow about projected energy costs. He responded that staff are monitoring futures prices of natural gas very carefully and are working closely with the provost's office and with staff on the other two campuses. However, campus units will be informed next week that the internal rate for heating will be increased, and these costs will continue to exert a significant amount of pressure on the operating budget in the future.

### **Central Campus Master Plan Update**

Executive Vice President Slottow noted that Associate Provost Phil Hanlon would provide an update on academic needs with respect to central campus planning and University Planner Sue Gott would describe how the University Planner's Office is responding to the academic needs.

Associate Provost Hanlon noted that the breadth and depth of activity occurring on central campus means that planning for central campus embodies the full complexity of the academic enterprise. He described the steps involved in the planning process, including consultation, priority-setting, and formation of the plan. The three themes that serve as the foundation

for central campus planning are innovation, collaboration, and excellence, and he illustrated how these themes lead to specific space needs within buildings as well as the positioning of facilities and the units they house around the campus.

University Planner Sue Gott gave a presentation describing how the academic themes of innovation, collaboration, and excellence are realized in the Central Campus master planning process. Ms. Gott described and illustrated the land use patterns that have emerged on Central Campus, including a natural sciences corridor, a social sciences corridor, a performing arts corridor, and an arts and humanities corridor. She noted that the fundamental themes driving the plan are student life issues, the need for collaboration/adjacencies/interdisciplinary work, the ability to attract the “brightest and best,” issues involving international studies, and the preservation of knowledge. The physical planning principles involved in the master plan are to preserve future flexibility, maximize and optimize site capacities, promote redevelopment opportunities, address building conditions, and enhance mobility.

Ms. Gott gave an update on several new projects already under construction, noting how they incorporate the themes and planning principles involved in the master plan. She concluded by illustrating how the projects discussed in the Central Campus Plan update represent a continuation of the University’s planning efforts over time, driven by the University’s mission, and incorporating academic priorities, the Residential Life Initiative, the Health System Strategic Plan, physical planning principles, and facility condition needs.

### **Parking and Transportation Strategic Plan Update**

David Miller, director of Parking and Transportation, gave an update to illustrate how parking and transportation plans align with University master plans in support of the University’s academic, research and health care mission. He enumerated Parking and Transportation

challenges, which include responding to growth on the Ann Arbor campus, meeting the transportation needs for students, continuing the relationship with AATA, promoting alternative transportation, and maintaining existing parking facilities.

Mr. Miller noted that the number of passengers on UM buses had increased 11% over FY 2004, and 33% since 1999, for a total of 5.2 million passengers in 2005. He described improvements that had been made in student bus service during the past year and also noted that the MRide program, a joint program with the AATA, had a 24% ridership increase in fall 2005.

Mr. Miller commented that the University is making concerted efforts to reduce the use of cars and parking facilities in the central and medical campus areas by making the transit system more attractive to faculty and staff. These efforts, which are included in university's master plan for each area of campus, include making transit centers more comfortable and convenient. In addition, he pointed out that one new parking structure per year will be coming on board through 2010, with a possible 6th structure planned beyond that.

Regent McGowan pointed out that the Arthur Miller Theatre and Walgreen Auditorium will be opening before the parking facilities planned for that area are completed. Mr. Miller described how parking needs will be accommodated after the theatre and auditorium are completed in 2007. He noted that when the auditorium is completed, the existing surface lot will be increased to 250 spaces and will be controlled for special events. Between 2007 and 2009, when the parking structure for that area will be under construction, a combination of initiatives will be put into place, including reserving spaces in nearby lots and running bus service and valet service, if necessary. The parking office will work with event coordinators for each event to insure adequate parking.

Regent McGowan asked for assurance of the University's commitment to provide convenient access to the theatre and auditorium once they are open. Executive Vice President Slottow responded that Parking and Transportation officials and Dean Kendall of the School of Music have been formulating plans to ensure that during the interim period before completion of the structure the timing of events will be coordinated between the school and the parking office. Executive Vice President Slottow commented that although there is some flexibility in the overall plan for the sequencing of new parking facilities, currently the need for parking on central campus represents a higher priority than on North Campus. He assured the Regents that he, Mr. Miller, and Dean Kendall are all committed to devising a parking plan that will satisfy the patrons of events at the new facilities on North Campus.

Mr. Miller concluded his presentation by displaying maps indicating the locations of future parking structures and a financial model indicating probable annual permit rates through FY 2010. He reported the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has awarded the University of Michigan the "Gold Standard for Best Work Places for Commuters."

## **Committee Reports**

**Finance, Audit and Investment Committee.** Regent Brandon reported that the primary agenda item for this month's committee meeting was to conduct an in-depth review, including a financial and operational update, of M-CARE, as per the committee's annual work plan. He said the committee learned that M-CARE and its affiliated entities are operating at a very high level in a very complex environment. The committee also finalized its committee calendar for 2006. Finally, the committee had received a written quarterly report of the Life Sciences Institute.

Regent Brandon noted that the Investment Advisory Committee would be meeting in Ann Arbor this weekend, and he would be stopping by their meeting to thank this volunteer

committee on behalf of the Regents for their service in helping the University to continue to outpace most benchmarks in its investment performance.

**Personnel, Compensation and Governance Committee.** Regent Taylor reported that the committee had met that morning with SACUA to review its annual report. He said that the committee was pleased to learn of the on-going meetings and dialog taking place between SACUA and members of the administration on a number of issues.

The committee also received a report from the provost on faculty recruitment and retention, and it reviewed president's office expense reports, finding everything in order.

The Regents then turned to the consent agenda. Regent Brandon left the meeting at this point, apologizing for having had a prior commitment.

## **Consent Agenda**

**Minutes.** Vice President Churchill submitted for approval the minutes of the meeting of October 21, 2005.

**Reports.** Executive Vice President Slottow submitted the Investment Report and made the following comments about the University's policies with respect to investments:

### **Comments Regarding University's Investments**

Both my office and the President's Office have received a number of questions over the past few months about the University's policies regarding the investment of our endowment. So to be responsive, I want to take a few minutes to review these policies.

First, let me note that these policies have been consistent and clear over a very long period of time. We have the benefit of excellent and thoughtful work by our Regents and by two faculty-led committees, one in 1978 and another in 1999, in developing the rationale behind these policies and articulating the set of conditions that must be met in the rare cases where an exception will be made to our normal investment policies.

There is one overarching principle related to our endowment and investment strategy: The University's governing board and officers have a fiduciary responsibility to protect our assets for the long term, so that we may leave to succeeding generations a University at least as strong as the one with which we have been entrusted. Therefore, the primary purpose of our endowment is to generate the greatest possible income, subject to an appropriate amount of risk, in support of the University's missions of teaching, research and service.



To accomplish this goal, it is important that we maintain an investment portfolio diversified across a full range of legally recognized entities. To do otherwise would be to increase our risk and decrease our investment returns – perhaps significantly so. For this reason, our longstanding policy is to shield the endowment from political pressures and to base our investment decisions solely on financial factors such as risk and return.

However, the University also has recognized that there may be unusual instances in which we should consider exceptions to this policy. The threshold for such an exception has intentionally been set extremely high, for the reasons I've noted.

In 1978, the Board of Regents adopted a resolution including the following language: “If the Regents shall determine that a particular issue involves serious moral or ethical questions which are of concern to many members of the University community, an advisory committee consisting of members of the University Senate, students, administration and alumni will be appointed to gather information and formulate recommendations for the Regents’ consideration.” Such a committee would be ad hoc, appointed only after the Regents determine that a particular issue might require a deviation from the normal investment policy.

The 1978 resolution does not specify what rises to the level of “serious moral or ethical questions,” or what will constitute “many members of the University community”, but the 1978 faculty advisory committee report as well as subsequent work by the 1999 tobacco divestment committee do help to clarify these.

The 1978 faculty committee that reviewed possible divestment from apartheid South Africa wrote that the University has some responsibility to consider the ethical implications of its investments “in those cases in which an extraordinary social evil is apparent and a broad consensus develops within the University community concerning the moral shortcomings of a particular firm or type of investment.” The committee stated its belief that “the University should not seek out controversy but rather should act only when a general consensus on a significant moral question has emerged within the University community.” It went on to say that the University “must make strenuous efforts to avoid making commitments, as a corporate body, to political positions that may intimidate its members, produce an atmosphere of distrust and suspicion, or create obstacles to free inquiry.”

The 1999 committee to investigate tobacco divestment was created by President Bollinger in accordance with the Regents resolution, after several years of sustained community concern about this issue. Although it is impossible to affix a number or a percentage to what constitutes broad community consensus on a given issue, it is worth noting that the Faculty Senate Assembly, Michigan Student Assembly and a number of other University leadership groups passed formal resolutions or in other ways expressed the view that the University’s ownership of tobacco stocks was of widespread concern. The resolutions of the Senate Assembly and MSA were nearly unanimous. In its report, the committee noted that the “overwhelming majority of the responses” to the committee and to the University administration supported divestment.

Even then, according to the committee, the decision to divest from tobacco companies proved to be “a complex and difficult challenge.” The committee wrote that “one service this report can perform ... would be to provide everyone in the University community with a sense of the complexity of the issues at stake.”

In his charge to the committee, the University’s chief financial officer asked it to determine whether the holding of tobacco securities “is antithetical to the core missions of the University of Michigan and, therefore, merit divestiture.” The committee also undertook to determine what features of tobacco products and what activities of the tobacco industry warranted singling out tobacco securities for potential divestment.

According to the 1999 committee, in order to justify divestment, “the magnitude of both the misbehavior in question and the harm caused by that misbehavior must be so extreme that the company or industry would be a clear outlier in the corporate community.”

In recommending divestment, the committee argued that tobacco companies make a product that is unique in its capacity to cause death in its intended use, with more than 400,000 deaths in the United States and more than 4 million deaths worldwide each year as a result of tobacco use. The committee singled out the “dishonest and reprehensible” behavior of the tobacco industry in targeting its products to young people, artificially enhancing the addictiveness of tobacco products through the addition of nicotine, denying the health effects of tobacco and suppressing scientific research. It concluded that the health effects of tobacco and the actions of the tobacco industry “are especially antithetical to the missions of this University, given our commitment to teaching, research and service in the fields of health care and public health.”

These two instances – apartheid in South Africa and the deadly effects of tobacco – are the only two instances in the University’s history when exceptions have been made to our investment policy.

So in the future, such exceptions will continue to be rare. We will ask the Regents to appoint an ad hoc committee to investigate the ethical and moral implications of our investments only when the following three conditions have been met:

1. The concern to be explored must express the broadly and consistently held position of the campus community over time;
2. There must be reason to believe that the behavior or action in question may be antithetical to the core mission and values of the University.
3. There must be reason to believe that the organization, industry or entity to be singled out may be uniquely responsible for the problems identified.

I will post additional information about these policies to my website, along with links to the South Africa and Tobacco divestment reports. I encourage anyone who is interested in our investment policies to read these thoughtful documents.

I invite any questions or comments that the Board may have.

### **Conflict of Interest Items**

President Coleman said that in order to assure that the required quorum would be present for consideration of the conflict of interest items, they would be considered at this point. She announced that the agenda includes six conflict of interest items, each of which requires six votes for approval. Regent Richner requested recusal from the request for a subcontract agreement between the University of Michigan and Innovative Biotherapies, Inc. Therefore, this item was removed from consideration until the following month. On a motion by Regent Newman, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved the following five items.

### **Approval of a Contract with Gladwin Center**

The Regents approved a contract with the Gladwin Center for use of their conference facilities for University departments. Because Thomas Gladwin, owner of the Gladwin Center, is also a University of Michigan employee, this agreement falls under the State of Michigan Conflict of Interest Statute. The following information is provided in compliance with statutory requirements:

1. The parties to the contract are the Regents of the University of Michigan and its Procurement Department and the Gladwin Center.
2. The service provided is a facility rental for one year with a one-year extension option, for a total of \$3,000.
3. The pecuniary interest arises from the fact that Mr. Gladwin, a University of Michigan employee, is owner of the Gladwin Center.

### **Lease Agreement with William C. Martin**

The Regents approved a lease agreement with William C. Martin for 1,385 square feet of property located at 540 East Liberty Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Because Mr. Martin owns the property being leased and is also a University of Michigan employee, this agreement falls under the State of Michigan Conflict of Interest Statute. The following information is provided in compliance with statutory requirements:

1. The parties to the contract are the Regents of the University of Michigan and William C. Martin.
2. The service provided is the lease of 1,385 square feet within a building at 540 East Liberty Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan. The lease is for five years, from November 1, 2005-October 31, 2010, and the rent is \$2,308 for the first year with annual increases of 3.5% for the remainder of the term. Tenant is responsible for gas and electric usage plus janitorial service.
3. The pecuniary interest arises from the fact that Mr. Martin, a University of Michigan employee, owns the property being leased.

### **Reassignment Agreement with Mark D. Hamming, David K. Wehe, and John A. Nees**

The Regents approved a reassignment agreement with Mark D. Hamming, David K. Wehe, and John A. Nees for the technology entitled “Kinetic Cooling of Mechanical Structures” (UM File No. 2979). Because Drs. Hamming, Wehe, and Nees are also University of Michigan employees, this agreement falls under the State of Michigan Conflict of Interest Statute. The following information is provided in compliance with statutory requirements:

1. The parties to the contract are the Regents of the University of Michigan and Mark D. Hamming, David K. Wehe, and John A. Nees.
2. The terms of the agreement include the University granting the Inventors its entire right, title, and interest in the patent rights to the Invention, and the Inventors shall pay the University 15% of royalties, equity, or other value received by the Inventors through subsequent marketing and licensing of the Invention.

No use of University services or facilities, nor any assignment of University employees, is obligated or contemplated under the agreement. Standard disclaimers of warranties and indemnification apply, and the contract may be amended by consent of the parties. University procedures for approval of these changes will be followed, and additional review by the Conflict of Interest Committee will be followed as appropriate.

3. The pecuniary interest arises from the fact that Drs. Hamming, Wehe, and Nees are University of Michigan employees.

### **Purchase Order Agreement with Michigan Aerospace Corporation**

The Regents approved an agreement with Michigan Aerospace Corporation to build and test a conceptual design of a stern-ramp deployable surface and underwater recovery vehicle that will simultaneously launch and allow for automated recover of marine unmanned vehicles. Because Dr. Lennard Fisk, partial owner of Michigan Aerospace Corporation, is also a University of Michigan employee, this agreement falls under the State of Michigan Conflict of Interest Statute. The following information is provided in compliance with statutory requirements:

1. The parties to the contract are the Regents of the University of Michigan and Michigan Aerospace Corporation.

2. The terms of the agreement conform to University policy. The period of performance is expected to be from September 1, 2005-August 31, 2006, and the amount payable to the University is expected to be \$164,989. Standard purchase order terms and conditions will apply. Since research agreements are often amended, the purchase order may include provisions for changes in time, amount, and scope. University of Michigan procedures for approval of these changes will be followed, and additional review by the Conflict of Interest Committee will be done as appropriate.
3. The pecuniary interest arises from the fact that Dr. Fisk, a University of Michigan employee, is partial owner of Michigan Aerospace Corporation.

### **Subcontract Agreement with Industrial Optical Measurement Systems, LLC**

The Regents approved an agreement with Industrial Optical Measurement Systems, LLC, to enable research to be performed to refine a technology for automated inspection of cylinder bones. Because Dr. Stephen Segall, founder and owner of Industrial Optical Measurement Systems, LLC, is also a University of Michigan employee, this agreement falls under the State of Michigan Conflict of Interest Statute. The following information is provided in compliance with statutory requirements:

1. The parties to the contract are the Regents of the University of Michigan and Industrial Optical Measurement Systems, LLC.
2. The terms of the agreement conform to University policy. The project at the University is to be conducted over an initial one-year period at an estimated total cost of \$30,000. Since research agreements are often amended, the purchase order may include provisions for changes in time, amount, and scope. University of Michigan procedures for approval of these changes will be followed, and additional review by the Conflict of Interest Committee will be done as appropriate.
3. The pecuniary interest arises from the fact that Dr. Segall, a University of Michigan employee, is founder and owner of Industrial Optical Measurement Systems, LLC.

**Plant Extension Report.** Executive Vice President Slottow submitted the Plant Extension Report.

**Human Resources and Affirmative Action Report.** Executive Vice President Slottow submitted the Human Resources and Affirmative Action Report.

**Litigation Report.** Vice President Krislov submitted the Litigation Report.

**Research Report.** The Regents received the report of projects established, October 1 - October 31, 2005.

**University of Michigan Health System.** Executive Vice President Kelch reported that the Health System's M-Fit Program and been awarded the 2005 "Innovation in Prevention Award" from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on October 25, 2005. Only ten such awards are presented each year.

**Division of Student Affairs.** There was no report from the Division of Student Affairs.

**University of Michigan-Flint.** Chancellor Mestas had no additional report.

**University of Michigan-Dearborn.** Chancellor Little had no additional report.

**Michigan Student Assembly Report.** Jesse Levine, president of MSA, reported on activities of MSA, which included being a partner in the presentation of the first hip hop concert ("Ludacris") on the University of Michigan campus. He also commented on collaborations between MSA and the Ann Arbor City Council, as well as other MSA activities.

**Voluntary Support.** Vice President May submitted the Report of Voluntary Support for October 2005. He commented on the recently-announced gift from Donald Graham for establishment of the environmental sustainability initiative, and thanked Interim Provost Gramlich for his efforts in helping to secure this gift.

**Personnel Actions/Personnel Reports.** Interim Provost Gramlich submitted a number of personnel actions and personnel reports.

**Retirement Memoirs.** Vice President Churchill submitted memoirs for 2 retiring faculty members.

**Memorials.** No deaths of active faculty members were reported to the Regents this month.

**Degrees.** President Coleman submitted recommendations for the following individuals to receive honorary degrees: Elizabeth Catlett, sculptor and printmaker (Doctor of Fine Arts); Freeman Dyson, physicist and science writer (Doctor of Science); William Richardson, academic leader and W.K. Kellogg Foundation president (Doctor of Laws); and Samuel Zell, businessman and philanthropist (Doctor of Laws). She announced that Dr. Dyson would serve as speaker at the Winter 2005 commencement.

The December 2005 Doctoral Degree List was also submitted for approval.

**Approval of Consent Agenda.** On a motion by Regent White, seconded by Regent Maynard, the Regents unanimously approved the Consent Agenda.

The Regents then turned to consideration of the regular agenda.

#### **Alternative Asset Commitments (*Fudo Capital, L.P.*; *ChrysCapital IV, LLC*)**

On a motion by Regent Maynard, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved commitment of \$20 million from the Long Term Portfolio to Fudo Capital, L.P., and \$15 million from the Long Term Portfolio to ChrysCapital IV, LLC.

#### **State Building Authority Financing of University of Michigan Projects in Ann Arbor and Dearborn Campuses**

On a motion by Regent Maynard, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved the following actions with respect to each of three University projects to be financed by the State Building Authority (SBA): the Literature, Science and the Arts Building Renovation on the Ann Arbor Campus, and the Engineering Laboratory Building Addition and Science Building Addition on the Dearborn Campus. The actions taken include approval for each project of resolutions (see appendix) authorizing the appropriate officers to:

- On or prior to the SBA's issuance of commercial paper notes, execute the Construction and Completion Assurance Agreement and Bill of Sale for each project.

- At or near completion of each project and prior to the issuance of the SBA's bonds, execute the respective lease, convey title to the property, and execute any necessary easement agreements required for the financing of the project.
- Execute any other documentation required for the financing of the projects by the SBA.

Regents Deitch and Brandon were absent, and Regent Taylor was not present for the vote.

INSERT RESOLUTIONS IN APPENDIX IN PROCEEDINGS.

### **Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Building Renovation**

On a motion by Regent White, seconded by Regent Maynard, the Regents unanimously approved the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Building Renovation project as described, and authorized issuing the project for bids and awarding construction contracts providing that bids are within the approved budget.

### **University of Michigan Hospitals and Health Centers University Hospital Chiller Replacement - FY 2006**

On a motion by Regent Newman, seconded by Regent Maynard, the Regents unanimously approved the University of Michigan Hospitals and Health Centers University Hospital Chiller Replacement - FY 2006 project as described in the Regents Communication, and authorized issuing the project for bids and awarding construction contracts providing that bids are within the approved budget.

### **FY 2007 State Operating Request, Ann Arbor Campus**

On a motion by Regent White, seconded by Regent Maynard, the Regents unanimously approved the annual operating request to the state for the University of Michigan Ann Arbor campus for FY 2007.



### **FY 2007 State Operating Request, University of Michigan-Dearborn**

On a motion by Regent Maynard, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved the annual operating request to the state for the University of Michigan-Dearborn campus for FY 2007.

Regent Taylor was away from the table for this and the remaining votes.

### **FY 2007 State Operating Request, University of Michigan-Flint**

On a motion by Regent Maynard, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved the annual operating request to the state for the University of Michigan-Flint campus for FY 2007.

### **New Dual Degree Program to be offered by the University of Michigan-Dearborn School of Management (*Master of Business Administration and Master of Science in Finance*)**

On a motion by Regent White, seconded by Regent Maynard, the Regents unanimously approved a new dual degree program (“Master of Business Administration and Master of Science in Finance”) to be offered by the University of Michigan-Dearborn School of Management.

### **Change in Name of Department of Chemistry at the University of Michigan-Flint**

On a motion by Regent Maynard, seconded by Regent White, the Regents unanimously approved a change in the name of the Department of Chemistry in the UM-Flint College of Arts and Sciences to the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry.

### **Public Comments**

The Public Comments session began at 4:40 p.m. The Regents heard comments from the following individuals, on the topics indicated: Jim Mogensen, citizen, on constructive criticism of the University of Michigan Health System; Richard Bernstein, member of the Wayne State

University Board of Governors, Deedee Varick, student, and Rachael Jankowski, student, on support for a regional mass transit system serving Detroit Metro Airport; Alice Ralph, alumna and member of the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission, on the Gordon Hall Historic District; and David Boyle, alumnus, on why the University should not invest in Sudan. The following students spoke on amending the University's non-discrimination bylaw to include "gender identity or expression": Stephen Rassi, Anna Paris, Sebastian Colon-Otero, Andrea Knittel, Mary Jo Adgate, and Andre Wilson.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:35 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for December 15, 2005.